PREA AUDIT REPORT ☐ INTERIM ☒ FINAL JUVENILE FACILITIES

Date of report: 12-14-2015

Auditor Information				
Auditor name: David "Will" Weir				
Address: 133 NW 24th Ave	Ste. #188; Norman, OK 73072			
Email: Will@preaamerica.co	om			
Telephone number: 405-	945-1951			
Date of facility visit: Nov	vember 16 and 17, 2015			
Facility Information				
Facility name: Calumet Ce	enter			
Facility physical address	330 Glendale, Highland Park, MI 4	8203		
Facility mailing address	: (if different fromabove) Click her	re to enter te	xt.	
Facility telephone numb	er: 313-868-8300			
The facility is:	□ Federal	☐ State		□ County
	☐ Military	☐ Municip	oal	☐ Private for profit
	☑ Private not for profit			
Facility type:	☐ Correctional	□ Detenti	ion	Other
Name of facility's Chief	Executive Officer: Melissa Ferna	ndez / Kirph	eous Stewart	
Number of staff assigne	d to the facility in the last 12	months: 3	2	
Designed facility capacit	ty: ⁸⁸			
Current population of fa	cility: ⁶³			
Facility security levels/i	nmate custody levels: Secure.			
Age range of the popula	tion: 11-20			
Name of PREA Compliance Manager: Jenny Sloan Title: Associate Clinical Director / PCM				
Email address: jsloan@spectrumhuman.org			Telephone number: 313-852-7535	
Agency Information				
Name of agency: Michiga	n Department of Health and Human	Services		
Governing authority or	parent agency: (if applicable) $^{ ext{C}}$	lick here to e	enter text.	
Physical address: 235 S. C	Grand Ave., Suite 1315, Lansing, MI	48909		
Mailing address: (if differ	rent from above) Click here to enter	text.		
Telephone number: 517-335-3489				
Agency Chief Executive	Officer			
Name: Nick Lyon			Title: Director	
Email address: GrijalvaN@michigan.gov (Assistant to Director) Telephone number: (517) 241-1193				
Agency-Wide PREA Coo	rdinator			
Name: Patrick Sussex Title: PREA Juvenile Coordinator / Program Mgr.				
Email address: sussexp@michigan.gov		Telephone number: 517-648-6503		

AUDIT FINDINGS

NARRATIVE

PREAmerica LLC was retained on 09-16-2015 to perform the Calumet Center PREA Audit. Michigan PREA Juvenile Coordinator and Program Manager Patrick Sussex facilitated the process. Notices of the on-site audit went up by 10-05-2015 and the Pre-Audit Questionnaire was received by October 20, 2015. The on-site audit was conducted as planned November 16 and 17. PREAmerica Auditor Will Weir and Project Manager Tom Kovach met with Agency Juvenile PREA Coordinator Patick Sussex and Calumet Center administrators Melissa Fernandez, Kirpheous Stewart, Jenny Sloan, and others, participating in a tour, random interviews with residents and staff, document reviews, and an exit conference at the conclusion of the on-site audit. The audit team interviewed a total of 14 administrators and staff, including 7 specialized and administrative staff. All residents randomly selected were interviewed. A total of 11 residents were interviewed, including random selections from each living area. The auditor team were impressed by the professionalism of the staff, the organizational skills of the administration, and the positive morale of the residents.

Documentation reviewed:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire, with attached documents, memorandums, updates and supplements PREA Training Acknowledgement Forms (for Staff) and rosters Juveniles Orientation Notice of Understanding Calumet Center Organizational Chart Calumet Center Policy Manual Calumet Center Employment Application and Addendum Resident Room Assignments/Resident Lodging - Procedure; YRC Resident Lodging Assessment Youth Residential Center Privacy Provisions Pre-Employment Packet Checklist; Background checks from random personnel files

Staff Training Curruculm; Unusual Incident Report Summaries; Licensing Reports Calumet Center Program/Position Training

Staffing Policy, Staffing Reviews, and documentation of unannounced rounds

PREA Notices

PREA Victimization/Abusiveness Screen

Coordinated Response

Daily Population Report and rosters

Schematic of Facility; Secure Facility Vulnerability Assessment

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

Calumet Center contracts with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services to be a Youth Residential Center for juveniles in custody. It has one building with 88 single cell housing units and no multiple occupany or open dorm housing. There are 10 segregation cells concurrent with a life safety unit. Each pod contains a supervisor's office, a therapy room, a living area, a classroom, a clinical case manager's office, a classroom, and a bathroom and shower area. Two pods share the locked supervisor's office area where youth cannot go. Residents can be managed by staff from both pods although the youth generally can only interact with members of their own pod. Each two pods also share a dining area with stationary tables and chairs, although the youth from each pod may dine at different times, depending on management needs.

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

Calumet Center received its on-site PREA audit on November 16 and 17, 2015. PREA Auditor Will Weir has verified compliance through interviews and a review of documents and found the facility to be fully compliant with all PREA Standards based on their successful completion of the PREA Audit process. The facility exceeded standards in 3 of the 40 applicable areas evaluated.

Number of standards exceeded: 3

Number of standards met: 37

Number of standards not met: 0

Number of standards not applicable: 1

Standa	rd 115.	311 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recomi	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
outlining policy in to have p sexual al Stewart, The policarassmanuthority	g how it was cludes do participate ouse and as well a cy update out of restriction to develop	has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and a policy will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The efinitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and it includes sanctions for those found ed in prohibited behaviors. The policy includes a description of agency strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual harassment of residents. According to Executive Director Melissa Fernandez and Facility Director Kirpheous is interviews with staff and residents, Calumet's Policy represents an ongoing commitment to zero tolerance and safety. The effective April 2, 2015, states on page 1, "Spectrum Juvenile Services has zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and/or idents." The agency employs and designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA coordinator who has sufficient time and lop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in the facility. Associate Clinical Director seed onsite at Calumet Center, is the PREA Coordinator. She reports to the Executive Director.
Standa	rd 115.	312 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
		Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recomi	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
confinen for all Co provision documer procedur	nent of it ontracted as of the oten that: re. C. Ens	oes not contract out for the confinement of its residents. Calumet is one of 27 contracts the State of Michigan has for the serious residents. All contractors are required to adopt and comply with PREA Standards. On page 26 of the Contract Language Juvenile Justice Residential Providers, it states: "23. Prison Rape Elimination Act: The contractor shall comply with all Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). Compliance with PREA shall be monitored by BCAL. Actions should be taken and A. Ensure staff training on PREA Compliance. B. Ensure a readily available objective reporting and investigation sure youth knowledge of PREA regulations. D. Ensures all volunteers, employees, contractors and other regular facility lent contact have been screened in compliance with PREA Standards."
Standa	rd 115.	313 Supervision and monitoring
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
		Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Calumet Center develops, documents, and makes its best efforts to comply with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against abuse. Youth in the facility are strictly controlled and supervised. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, it takes into consideration: Generally accepted juvenile detention and residential practices; Any judicial findings of inadequacy; Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated); The composition of the resident population; The number and placement of supervisory staff; Institution programs occurring on a particular shift; Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and Any other relevant factors. Since August 20, 2012, the average daily number of residents has been 80, less than the number of residents on which the staffing plan was predicated 88, with no deviations from the ratios in the last 12 months. The facility is obligated to maintain staffing ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours and 1:16 during resident sleeping hours. At least once every year the agency, in collaboration with the PREA Coordinator, reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed to: the staffing plan; prevailing staffing patterns; the deployment of monitoring technology; or the allocation of agency or facility resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan. The facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Calumet Center documents unannounced rounds on all shifts with a prohibition of staff alerting other staff of the conduct of the rounds. Documentation of these rounds were reviewed by the audit team.

Documents provided, including recent staffing plan review, as well as interviews conducted, verify this standard has been exceeded for at least the past 12 months. Calumet Center exceeds both DHHS and PREA minimum requirements for staffing by having a staff-to-youth ratio of 2:10 during waking hours and 1:10 during sleeping hours. In addition, all rooms are single occupancy rooms and the facility is managed through video monitoring and electronic locks in such a way that youth from different pods have minimal contact.

Standard 115.315 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
П	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents. Interviews indicate this policy has not been violated, and there has not been exigent circumstances requiring cross-gender searches. The facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat-down searches be documented and justified if they occur. Policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit or area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing. Since the facility employs a number of female staff, it is generally expected that female staff are on duty at most times. The facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. No such searches occurred in the past 12 months. Interviews conducted (of both staff and residents), and documentation received, indicate staff are properly trained, females are announced, and searches are conducted by the book.

Standard 115.316 Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient □ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) ⊠ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) □ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has established procedures to provide residents with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Agency policy prohibits use of resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under ß 115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations. In the past 12 months, there have been no instances where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants have been used and it was not the case that an extended delay in obtaining another interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under ß 115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations. Staff and residents indicate the agency will go the extra mile to assist anyone to understand what they need to understand in order to be safe and exercise their rights. Many residents have cognitive deficits, mental illness, and learning difficultities. Staff interviews and Calumet Center policy reviews indicate these efforts have been required and practiced in the agency culture for a number of years.

Standard 115.317 Hiring and promotion decisions

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with residents, and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents, who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. The Agency policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents. Calumet Center policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with residents, it conducts criminal background record checks, consults any child abuse registry maintained by the state or locality in which the employee would work; and consistent with Federal, State, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. During the past 12 months all staff and contract persons who may have contact with residents have had criminal background record checks. The Agency policy requires that either criminal background records checks be conducted at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. The Agency policy states that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination. Unless prohibited by law, the agency shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. Compliance with this standard was verified through a close reading of policy and other documentation provided, as well as a review of random personnel files pulled at the auditor's request, and through interviews with administrators, including the HR Director.

Standard 115.318 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since August 20, 2012. The facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012. Documentation provided, as well as interviews with administrators, indicate PREA will be considered when updates occur in the future. The video monitoring system was demonstrated during the facility tour, and is extensive and expandable.

Standard 115.321 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations (including resident-on-resident sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct). Child Protective Services, local law enforcement and district attorneys have the criminal investigative responsibilities. When conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol that is developmentally appropriate for youth and based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women publication, A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents, or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011. As verified by policy and interviews with DHHS PREA Coordinator Patrick Sussex, Calumet PREA Compliance Manager Jenny Sloan, Spectrum Executive Director Melissa Fernandez and Calumet Facility Director Kirpheous Stewart, all residents who experience sexual abuse have access to off site forensic medical examinations. These examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim and are conducted by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or (SANEs) at DMC Children's Hospital in Detroit. When SANEs or SAFEs are not available, a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations. The facility documents efforts to provide SANEs or SAFEs. These PREA Polices and Procedures were verified in line staff interviews as well, as staff remember these available services when recanting their first responder duties. The agency does not yet have a formal agreement with the hospital, but has an active and respected verbal agreement. No forensic medical exams have been performed during the past 12 months because there were no allegations indicating an exam. The facility attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim, in person or by other means and these efforts are documented. If they are not available to provide victim advocate services, the facility provides a qualified staff member.

Standard 115.322 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet Center as well as Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. In the past 12 months no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment were received regarding Calumet. The agency has a policy that requires that all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. Calumet PREA Policy includes Incident Reporting Policy which requires the reporting of all sexual abuse, and the Investigations Policy requires they be investigated. Abuse, or even failure to cooperate in an investigation, can include termination of employment, according to these policies. Interviews of staff and residents indicated there have been no allegations, and that staff and residents believe allegations will be taken seriously.

Standard 115.331 Employee training

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

As verified by interviews with staff, Calumet trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the following required matters: zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to fulfill responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures; residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities; the common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents; how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents; how to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents; and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities, and including relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent. Such training is tailored to the gender, as well as any unique needs and attributes of residents. In the past 12 months all 192 staff employed by the facility, who may have contact with residents, were trained in PREA requirements. Between trainings, the agency provides employees with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment in handouts and staff meetings Intermitantly. The agency documents that employees understand the training they have received through employee signature. This verification was provided to the auditor. In addition to Calumet PREA Policy, closely reflect a detailed adherence to PREA Standards.

Standard 115.332 Volunteer and contractor training

		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recomi	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion lso include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
procedur utilized a provide a least bee	res regard at the tim and level n notified	ontractors who will have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency policies and ling sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. According to interviews, 4 volunteers are e of the audit. The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they will of contact they will have with residents. All volunteers and contractors who will have contact with residents will have at d of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such ency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers/contractors understand the training they have received.
Standa	rd 115.	333 Resident education
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recomi	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion lso include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific cive actions taken by the facility.
sexual al limited E also ensu written f interview documer informat	Duse or se English pures that la formats. A vs and intation of ion about	receive information at the time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of exual harassment. The facility provides resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are reficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to residents who have limited reading skills. The agency key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other all residents admitted during the past 12 months have received this information in an age appropriate fashion, according to formation provided. Many have received the information at previous placements as well. The agency maintains resident participation in PREA education sessions and this was provided to the auditor. The agency ensures that key the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, resident handbooks, or other is verified in interviews of staff and residents, and observed by the audit team during the facility tour.
Standa	rd 115.	334 Specialized training: Investigations
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
		r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion

must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet Center does do its own adminstative but not criminal investigations. The State of Michigan requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. Specialized training includes techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. Investigating agencies are required to maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations.

Documentation reviewed, and interviews with administrators, verify that Calumet Center does not conduct criminal sexual abuse investigations at this time, but it cooperates with authorities, and collects information needed to make determinations regarding resident treatment and safety. Melissa Fernandez has completed investigator training and conducts administrative investigations.

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DHHS and Calumet both have written policies related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. The training includes: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency employs 18 medical staff, but none conduct forensic exams, however all have received PREA training. Calumet employs 8 clinical staff. During interviews these staff, including the PREA Coordinator, demonstrated an understanding of the processes utilized by medical and forensic professionals.

Standard 115.341 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to the facility or transfer from another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents. The policy requires that residents be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their intake. Such assessments shall be conducted using an objective screening instrument. At a minimum, the agency shall attempt to ascertain information about: (1) Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness; (2) Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse; (3) Current charges and offense history; (4) Age; (5) Level of emotional and cognitive

development; (6) Physical size and stature; (7) Mental illness or mental disabilities; (8) Intellectual or developmental disabilities; (9) Physical disabilities; (10) The resident's own perception of vulnerability; and (11) Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents. This information is ascertained through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical and mental health screenings; during classification assessments; and by reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files. Controls are in place on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents. Interviews indicate that all residents are screened and the facility is reassessing when a resident is high risk and when new information regarding risk factors come to their attention.

Standard 115.342 Use of screening information

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet uses information from the risk screening required by ß115.341 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments. All residents are in single occupancy rooms. The facility prohibits placing lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status. The facility prohibits considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator of likelihood of being sexually abusive. The agency or facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex residents in the facility on a case-by-case basis. The facility uses all information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently to make housing, bed, program, education, and work assignments for residents with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse. Residents may be isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged. Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident shall be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident. A transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety shall be given serious consideration. Transgender and intersex residents shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents. Interviews indicate there are no openly LGBTI residents at the facility at this time. Also, there is always an administrator (someone who has access to all screening and assessment information) on call for after hours emergencies.

Standard 115.351 Resident reporting

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for residents to report privately to agency officials about sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. The facility provides at least one way for residents to report abuse

or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the facility. This is accomplished though the DHHS hotline: 1-855-444-3911 or calling Child Protective Services. There are no residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes at this time. The agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. Staff are required to document verbal reports by the end of their shifts. The facility does provide residents with access to tools to make written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. The agency has also established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

Standard 115.352 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. The facility policy allows a resident to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. There is no time limit for a resident to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse, and the resident is not required to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. The facility's policy allows a resident to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The facility's procedure requires that a resident grievance alleging sexual abuse not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The facility has policy that requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The facility notifies the resident in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made. The facility policy permits third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and to file such requests on behalf of residents. Policy requires that if the resident declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the residents decision to decline. Policy allows legal guardians of residents to file a grievance, including appeals, on behalf of such resident, regardless of whether or not the resident agrees to having the grievance filed on their behalf. The agency has a policy for filing an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. These emergency grievances require an initial response within 48 hours and that a final agency decision be issue within 5 days. Interviews conducted, and documentation received, indicate there have been no grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse that were filed in the past 12 months. The agency has a policy that limits its ability to discipline a resident for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith.

Standard 115.353 Resident access to outside confidential support services

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility provides residents access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents (by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible) mailing addresses and telephone numbers (including toll-free hotline numbers where available) of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. In addition, these are provided by the SANE nurse.

Standard 115.354 Third-party reporting

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency or facility provides a method to receive third-party reports of resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment by phone, email, in writing, and by personal contact. The agency or facility publicly distributes information on how to report resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of residents.

Spectrum Human Services Juvenile Justice website with PREA information:

http://www.spectrumhuman.org/SJJS/Default.aspx

DHHS Public Website / PREA

http://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-73971_34044_39057---,00.html

Standard 115.361 Staff and agency reporting duties

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Interviews with staff and administrators, as well as a review of policy, verify that all staff are required to report immediately: Any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency. They also must report any retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident. They must report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. The agency requires all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws. Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local service agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. Medical and mental health professionals are required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors, as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws. Such practitioners shall be required to inform residents at the initiation of services of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality. Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, the facility head or his or her designee shall promptly report the allegation to the appropriate agency office and to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians, unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified. If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, the report is be made to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians. If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, the facility head or designee will also report the allegation to the juvenile's

attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation. The facility shall report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, for investigation.

Standard 115.362 Agency protection duties

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

When the agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident. Interviews indicate staff take this responsibility seriously. In the past 12 months, there were no times the agency or facility determined that a resident was subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. It is important to note, again, that each resident is placed in single occupancy rooms, avoiding any risk from cellmates.

Standard 115.363 Reporting to other confinement facilities

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet Center policy requires that, upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Program Director must notify the head of the facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred, as well as notifying the appropriate investigative agency. In the past 12 months, no allegations have been received that a resident was abused while confined at another facility. The agency policy requires that the facility head provides such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The agency or facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. Policy also requires that allegations received from other facilities/agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards.

Standard 115.364 Staff first responder duties

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion

must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency/facility has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The agency policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to: preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps could be taken to collect any evidence; request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. In the past 12 months there were no allegations of sexual abuse. Interviews with staff indicate they understand first responder duties. Training logs and training curriculum

Standard	446 3	26E	C	dimata	4	
Stanuaru	TTO"	י כסכ	COOF	umate	u res	bonse

indicate	all these	duties are covered in training.
Standa	ard 115	.365 Coordinated response
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recom	or discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
		leveloped a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first cal and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership, and this was provided to the auditor and reviewe
Standa	ard 115	.366 Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recom	or discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance on nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
C 1		

Calumet Center is not part of a Collective Bargaining Contract and maintains its ability to protect it's residents and employees from abusers.

Standard 115.367 Agency protection against retaliation

		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
-Δ /	Audit Ren	port 16

Calumet Center has a policy to protect all residents and staff or any cooperating individual who reports sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperates with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff. Staff member(s) or department(s) are charged with monitoring for possible retaliation. Jenny Sloan, Melissa Fernandez, and Kirpheous Stewart are charged with monitoring retaliation at Calumet. They monitor the conduct or treatment of residents or staff who reported sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff. They examine resident disciplinary reports, housing, or program changes, or negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff. They understands their responsibilities require them to continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. In the case of residents, such monitoring will also include periodic status checks. The agency/facility acts promptly to remedy any such retaliation.

Standard 115.368 Post-allegation protective custody

\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has a policy that residents who have alleged to have suffered sexual abuse may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged. The facility policy requires that residents who are placed in isolation because they allege to have suffered sexual abuse have access to legally required educational programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise. In the past 12 months no residents who have alleged sexual abuse have been placed in isolation or segregated. Although no residents have alleged sexual abuse in the past year, the auditor did review incident report summaries regarding a couple of residents, who had been randomly interviewed by the auditor, who coincidentially happened to have required close management and observation during the past 12 months. Both these residents seem to have been provided full health services and uninterrupted programming. The auditor also reviewed the Aggregriate Seclusion/Restraint Incidents Report. Only one seclusion lasted for 20 minutes. All others were 5 minutes in duration. Staff interviewed about these incidents indicate they use verbal de-escalation methods, in addition to minimal physical management, that allows residents to calm down quickly. Director Stewart explained that any intervention that goes longer than one hour has to have director's approval, and this has never happened. Staff do not engage in power struggles with residents, but rather provide alternative viewpoints and separation from a source of conflict. Since residents have private rooms, seclusion typically happens in the resident's own familiar room for 5 minutes, then the resident returns, perhaps gradually, to join the peers in the pod for small group activities and processing. The therapeutic process takes over from there. The peers assist each other in acknowledging feelings and moving past anger. This system, which has been in place for several years and is supported by staffing ratios which exceed PREA standards, exceeds the minimum PREA requirements for postallegation protective custody. Calumet houses youth with extensive sexual abuse history and manages outbursts capable of escalating to violent incidents on a regular basis. This shows that Calumet has the capability and infrastructure to safely handle and manage any circumstances anticipated by PREA in the aftermath of a sexual abuse allegation. The facilty has alternative means of keeping the victim and all other residents safe. For example, just by moving a youth from one pod to another ends contact with youth from the former pod, so segregation would not be necessary, especially keeping in mind that all youth have single occupancy rooms. Even when residents are in isolation for only 5 minutes, they receive clinical care, certainly exceeding the PREA requirement for daily care. The documentation completed by the facility regarding these brief incidents also exceeds PREA standards, and is reviewed by the Administrative Team, as well as State Licensing officials.

Standard 115.371 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	detern must a recom	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
the ager not term appear to investig five year only aft credibil resident staff act the physinvestig evidence shall be the ager The dept terminal endeave	ney's written in ate and ate and ate and ate are at a ten	as a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations. All sections of this standard have been added to ten policies, although local law enforcement (Highland Park Police) will conduct criminal investigations. The agency does nvestigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation. Substantiated allegations of conduct that inal are referred for prosecution. The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal lleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the investigative agency will conduct compelled interviews ing with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. The illeged victim, suspect, or witness will be assessed on an individual basis and not be determined by the person's status as No polygraphs are required. Administrative investigations, conducted by the agency include an effort to determine whether illures to act contributed to the abuse. Such investigations will be documented in written reports that include a description of estimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. Criminal libe documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary ches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal for prosecution. The agency will retain all written reports for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by Tive years, unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention, the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency will not provide a basis for vestigation. When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility will cooperate with outside inve
		Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
		Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Written policy and interviews with administrators verify that the agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Standard 115.373 Reporting to residents

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Policy requires that any resident who makes an allegation that he suffered sexual abuse is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency. In the past 12 months there have been no criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse that were completed by the agency/facility. If an outside entity conducts such investigations, the agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation. Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, the facility subsequently informs the resident (unless the facility has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit; The staff member is no longer employed at the facility; The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or The agency learns that the staff member against a resident in the facility in the past 12 months. Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The agency has a policy that all notifications to residents described under th

Standard 115.376 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Calumet Center staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. In the past 12 months no staff from the facility were alleged to have violated agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. In the past 12 months, there have been no staff from the facility that have been reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

Standard 115.377 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with residents. In the past 12 months, no contractors or volunteers have been reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of residents. The facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with residents in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

Standard 115.378 Disciplinary sanctions for residents

\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy review and interviews indicate: Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse. Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse. In the past 12 months there have been no findings of resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. At this facility, seclusion usually happens for only 5 minutes in the resident's own room. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible. In the past 12 months no residents have been placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse, but offenders who were placed in isolation were provided care in excess of the minimum requirements of this standard. The facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. If the facility offers nationally recognized therapy, counseling, and other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. Access to general programming or education is not conditional on participation in such interventions. The agency disciplines residents for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. Calumet Center prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents and disciplines residents for such activity, but deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced. Since this facility is equipped to treat sex offenders and has a program especially designed to address the needs of both sexual abuse victims and offenders, and demonstrates it can manage behavioral issues associated with this population, it is clear they exceed the minimum PREA standard for interventions and disciplinary sanctions, and have been doing so for more than 12 months.

Standard 115.381 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

deter must recom	or discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance mination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific ctive actions taken by the facility.
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

All residents at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to ß115.341 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. In the past 12 months, all residents regardless of disclosure for prior victimization during screening who were offered a follow up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with the above required services. All residents who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to ß 115.341, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. Mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with the above required services. The information shared with other staff is strictly limited to informing security and management decisions, including treatment plans, housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by federal, state, or local law.

Standard 115.382 Access to emergency medical and mental health services

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. All Calumet residents are offered pregnancy tests when applicable and given information about medical services. Treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Since there has been no incidents of sexual abuse in the past 12 months, the auditor was unable to review any documentation of these services being provided to victims, but policies reviewed, and interviews conducted, indicate the facility is ready and able to respond to such an incident if it occurs.

Standard 115.383 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Calumet Center offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. The facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. Since no current residents are known to have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility, compliance with this standard was verified through interviews with facility administrators and a review of the policy and procedures which are in place. The facility offers in house health services and also has access to facilities off site that are utilized as needed. Since this facility offers placement for youth charged with sex crimes, social living skills groups, and various other groups, discuss the dynamics of sexual abuse and address resiliency and appropriate boundaries on a regular basis with residents, so any youth victimized, or victimizing others, in confinement would likely benefit from the ongoing programming at Calumet.

Standard 115.386 Sexual abuse incident reviews

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse criminal or administrative investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation. The sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The review team considers whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; considers whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or, gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility; examines the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse; assesses the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; assesses whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff; and prepares a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to this section, and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager. The facility implements the recommendations for improvement, or documents its reasons for not doing so. None of these reviews have been completed since there have been no allegations to review this year. Compliance with this standard was verified through interviews with facility administrators and a review of the policy and procedures which are in place.

Standard 115.387 Data collection

	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
dete mus reco	itor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance ermination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion at also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These examples must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific ective actions taken by the facility.
The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The agency aggregates the incident-basexual abuse data at least annually in cooperation with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), who take lead in this endeavor and requires providers to provide data monthly. Calumet Center maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. MDHHS obtains incidented and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents. The agency can prove Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year upon request.	
Standard 1	15.388 Data review for corrective action
	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
det mus reco	itor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance ermination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion at also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These examples must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific ective actions taken by the facility.
prevention, de and preparing whole. The a provide an ass at least annua material from specific threat MDHHS (st	tection, and response policies, and training, including: identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years. Annual reports sessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. The agency makes its annual report readily available to the public lly through its website and through other means. The annual reports are approved by the agency head. When the agency redacts an annual report for publication the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and to the safety and security of the facility. The report also reiterates the state's commitment to the integrity of this process. ate agency) link to data page / annual report for all MI facilities (under PREA links): "michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-73971_34044_39057,00.html"

Standard 115.389 Data storage, publication, and destruction

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
r r	detern nust a ecomi	r discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance nination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These mendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific tive actions taken by the facility.
data be ma available, years after their syste	ade read the age the dat the dat	nsures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. Agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse dily available to the public, at least annually, through MDHHS. Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly ncy removes all personal identifiers. The agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to \$115.387 for at least 10 te of initial collection, unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise. Interviews with administrators who explained also verified a broad commitment to confidentiality that is reflected in their written agency policy, indicate this standard is the best of their ability and understanding.
AUDITO I certify t		TIFICATION
	\boxtimes	The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
	\boxtimes	No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
	\boxtimes	I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.
D. Will V	Weir	
Auditor Signature		re Date